

REMARKS

The disclosure is objected to because of some minor typographical informalities. They have been corrected in this amendment.

Claim 1 was rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of US Patent 6,396,547 B1. Applicants have included a Terminal Disclaimer which is believed to overcome the rejection of claim 1. Accordingly claim 1 is believed to be patentable.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 3-14 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 3-14 depend on claim 1. Accordingly, claims 3-14 are believed to be patentable at least due to their dependency on claim 1.

It is believed that the claims in the application are allowable over the prior art and such allowance is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees in connection with this communication to Eastman Kodak Company Deposit Account No. 05-0225.

A duplicate copy of this communication is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



Pamela R. Crocker
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 42,447

PRC:cjm
Telephone: (585) 477-0553
Facsimile: (585) 477-4646